

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.nspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/491,429	01/26/2000	John F. Heanue	A-68918/ENB	8521
75	90 02/03/2003			
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			EXAMINER	
Four Embarcadero Center Suite 3400			RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO	
San Francisco, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

79-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•		[	descri			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/491,429	HEANUE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Armando Rodriguez	2828			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the fill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mic cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 h	lovember 2002 .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-20}$ is/are pending in the application	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		Paul Do			
Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
,	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
15) 🗌 🗸	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachmen		<b>∆</b> \□ 1	Common (DTO 442) Described			
2) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 18			

Application/Control Number: 09/491,429

Art Unit: 2828

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leckel et al (PN 6,404,798) in view of Dhuler et al (PN 6,428,173).

Regarding claims 1-12 and 16-20,

Leckel et al illustrates in figure 2 a laser system used in optical communications network where the laser system having a source (10) which is in a Fabry-Perot arrangement by having reflective surfaces (20) and (30), a diffraction grating (70) and a mirror (270) where the grating and the mirror are in a Littman configuration for redirecting the beam back towards the grating, such configuration is well-known in the art. The mirror provides wavelength tuning as shown by the arrows in figure 2 and disclosed in column 5.

Leckel et al does not disclose a micro actuator for providing movement to the mirror to obtain a tunable laser.

Application/Control Number: 09/491,429

Art Unit: 2828

Dhuler et al discloses in the abstract of microelectromechanical structures (MEMS) are used for controlling the movements of mirrors. Dhuler et al also discloses that such technology (MEMS) can be used in applications involving the controlled redirection of electromagnetic radiation.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to combine the moveable microelectromechanical mirror of Dhuler et al with the laser system of Leckel et al because it would provide movement to the mirror of Leckel et al for controlling the retro reflected beam and obtaining a tunable laser.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leckel et al (PN 6,404,798) in view of Dhuler et al (PN 6,428,173) as applied to claims 1 and 16 above, and further in view of Jerman et al.

Leckel et al and Dhuler et al do not disclose a micro actuator having a substrate and at least one rotary comb.

Jerman et al in the abstract discloses an electrostatic micro actuator having a substrate and a rotary comb, where in column 7 an exemplary operation of the actuator is disclosed as providing movement for a mirror and deflecting a laser beam.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the micro actuator of Dhuler et al with the micro actuator of Jerman et al because both actuator will provide movement to a mirror for deflecting a laser beam.

Application/Control Number: 09/491,429

Art Unit: 2828

### Conclusion

Page 4

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Armando Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-6218. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-hour day / M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-4881.

Armando Rodrigue: Examiner

Examiner Art Unit 2828

AR/PI January 21, 2003 Paul Ip Supervisor

Art Unit 2828